



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/867,475	05/31/2001	Monika Lusky	017753-146 7808		
21839 7590 06/02/2004			EXAMINER		
	NE SWECKER & M	MARVICH, MARIA			
POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT PAPER NUM		
			1636		
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/867	7,475	LUSKY ET AL.			
		Exami	ner	Art Unit			
			3 Marvich, PhD	1636			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on	the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (p period for reply is specified above, the maximum s ire to reply within the set or extended period for repl reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. 30) days, a reply within the tatutory period will apply an y will, by statute, cause the	o event, however, may a reply be time statutory minimum of thirty (30) days and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	î		
Status		·					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 3/24/04.					
'	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action i	s non-final.				
3)		<i>,</i> —		secution as to the merits is			
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 ✓ Claim(s) 1,3,4 and 6-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 31,34 and 35 is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 1,3,4,6-30,32-33,36 and 37 is/are rejected. 						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 May 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any object	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to the oath of the oath or declaration is objected to the oath of	•					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internations See the attached detailed Office actions	or documents have to or documents have to of the priority docu onal Bureau (PCT l	peen received. peen received in Applicati uments have been receive Rule 17.2(a)).	on No. <u>09/493,486</u> . ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	rt(c)						
Attachmen	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (•	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o er No(s)/Mail Date	r PTO/SB/08)	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 09/867,475

Art Unit: 1636

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to an amendment filed 3/24/04. Claims 2 and 5 are cancelled. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-19, 22, 23, 26, 28, 36, and 37 have been amended. Claims 1, 3, 4 and 6-37 are pending. Claims 31 and 34-35 are withdrawn.

Response to Amendment

Any rejection of record in the previous action not addressed in this office action is withdrawn. There are new grounds of rejection herein that were not necessitated by applicant's amendment and therefore, this action is not final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 3-4, 6-30, 32-33 and 36-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is vague and indefinite in that the metes and bounds of the term, "genomes of both (i) and (ii) comprising" ITRs, encapsidation region and genes of early and late are unclear. It is unclear whether each genome individually comprises of each of the listed components or collectively the genomes comprise these components. This rejection is maintained for reasons of record in the office action filed 12/24/03 and restated here.

Application/Control Number: 09/867,475

Art Unit: 1636

Claims 1(b), 1(e) and 36 recite the limitation "the cell obtained in step (a)" in claim 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. In fact, the cell may be considered to be obtained prior to the introduction step whereas the limitation appears to intend the use of a cell generated following step (a). **This is a new rejection.**

Claim 6 is vague and indefinite in that the metes and bounds of "said first helper (i) or said second helper (ii) and said first helper (i) and said second helper (ii)" are unclear. It is unclear how the defective mutants can be the first or second AND first and second. This is a new rejection is necessitated by applicants' amendment.

Claim 12 recites the limitation "said second helper vector" in claim 11. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. This is a new rejection necessitated by applicants' amendment.

Claim 13 recites the limitation "the E1 function" in claim 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. This is a new rejection.

Claim 13 is vague and indefinite in that the metes and bounds of "functional for the E1 function" are unclear. Firstly, E1 has more than one function thus it is unclear if all or one are intended to be "functional" as the claim recites **the function**. If it is one function as written, it is unclear which of the functions is to be "functional". Secondly, functional E1 function is redundant. **This is a new rejection.**

Claim 15 and 17 are vague and indefinite in that the metes and bounds of "make the origin of replication recognized" are unclear. It is unclear how modification of a vector can "make" the origin "recognized". Use of the word "enable" instead of "make" would be appropriate. And a verb is required prior to "recognized". **This is a new rejection.**

Page 4

Claim 16 is vague and indefinite in that the metes and bounds of "replacement" "by" are unclear. Use of the verb "by" is improper and should be "with". This is a new rejection.

Claim 25 recites the limitation "at least one defective function" in claim 24. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The recombinant minimal vector is not said to have any defective functions. **This is a new rejection.**

Response to Arguments

Applicants' traverse the rejection of claim 1 on page 13 of the amendment filed 3/24/04. Applicants argue that each genome (i) and (ii) individually comprises each of the listed components as the plural form of "genomes" indicates such.

The arguments filed 3/24/04 have been considered but are not persuasive. The use of the plural form "genomes" does not distinguish as to whether the "genomes" collectively or each comprise the 5' and 3' ITRs, an encapsidation region and one or more genes of the early and late regions. By reciting "genomes of both (i) and (ii)", the genomes could both individually or both collectively comprise the listed components.

Conclusion

Claims 1, 3-4, 6-30, 32-33 and 36-37 are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maria B Marvich, PhD whose telephone number is (571)-272-0774. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-3:00).

Application/Control Number: 09/867,475

Art Unit: 1636

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Remy Yucel, PhD can be reached on (571)-272-0781. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Maria B Marvich, PhD

Page 5

Examiner

Art Unit 1636

May 18, 2004

DRIMARY FXAMINER